

INVENTOR: McBride et al  
TITLE: MEDICAL TESTING AND METHOD

attorney docket: CARDIOBEAT-1

**EXHIBIT 6**

5

**Outlook - Message (Draft)**

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Options Help

To: George Pechter <GeorgePechter@comcast.net>

From: Donald J. Lenkszus, P.C. <DonaldJLenkszus@comcast.net>

Subject: DEMO

Re: The message you are viewing has been viewed with Microsoft Word. Click here to edit in Word.

You forwarded this message on 8/16/02 05:20P. Click here to find all related messages.

Send: 8/16/02 05:20P (EST)

**CBI**

10/16/99 6:20:36 PM

Status of 2nd generation Portable Cardiac Lab (PCL)

George I guess that you have been using the PCL software as a basic and renaming it as "Cardiac Track" software

Choices:

1. Assuming that we do NOT produce an interim product for a DEMO:

2nd generation CardiacTrack software:  
I on the other hand have always believed that if we ever get funding we would start over with Client and Server software designed around the basic research and make that both Dr. Burch and I have worked in the original DOS based version known as the Cardiac Performance Lab (CPL). This would return the most efficient use of the very small amount of time allocated to develop this product. This is a major change and it will require a complete rewrite to produce an efficient product that is small in size and easy to download over the net.

People Required:  
With a couple of quality programmers and at least one and possibly two high quality engineers to work me in my division of the company, then I believe that we can produce this product in a timely manner.

I would have the job of **COORDINATING** four major areas:

1. A patient hardware/interface device with four lead electrode assembly
2. Client software (User)
3. Server software (Database & intelligent exports)

**CardioBatt.com**  
Engineering Department  
400 East Market - #400, AZ 85004  
http://www.cardiobatt.com  
Email - rayes@cardiobatt.com  
Dr. 602/244-2000  
Fax: 602/244-2001

Re: [REDACTED] Message (Text Only)

On 08/16/02 05:20P From: [REDACTED] To: [REDACTED]

Subject: [REDACTED] v0.0.0.0 [REDACTED] X + - + - +

① The message contains 1 attachment(s) that is linked to this message. Click here to display it in Word. You can search the message on J:\[REDACTED]\[REDACTED]. Click here to find all related messages.

From: [REDACTED] (mailto:[REDACTED]) Date: 08/16/02 05:20P  
To: George Melody; Larry K. Marshall  
Cc:  
Subject: DEMO

1. Working with the WEB developers on specifications: Making sure everyone is on the same page using the same specifications. These specifications will be somewhat liquid and will change as required. The segment/programmers will write down things and the Visual Basic programmers in both the Client and Server products will take these notes into account as well as the WEB developers/programmers.

The server product should be the main complex product and would require a great deal of coordination between the visual Basic programmers and the WEB programmers. With my knowledge of the overall product and the creative input of the programmers and engineers we should end up with a very high quality product.

2. Assuming that we **DO** produce an interim product for a DEMO:

For generation Portable Cutting Lab (PCL)  
I would continue to develop the PCL software and make it work and set something like the CheckTruck products.  
If I do PCL, at my company in a timely manner I will need a team and programmers to work with me on a full time basis. I would want that individual to be a full time employee. We would need funding of some kind if that is the case.

The abstract I've had assumed that we use the check product. All most all of the work would have to be repeated when we start developing the CheckTruck product. Of course some of it could be used but not much.

I am NOT in favor of this manner of time management. I hope it may help to sell the product and if they have to be done.

Developing the PCL software could also backfire on us, as the device is still not took at full like the actual product. If you show a physician a "Stand Alone System" and then tell him you going to split it up he may not understand the concept. Or he may not want us.

I believe that producing a PCL demo will cause MAJOR problems but if you all feel that it be done then lets get a bit of funding and do it.

I need feedback on this - is this what you need for Tuesday?

  
over email pg 10  
00

